## Learning from fMRI using Recurrent Neural Networks with Applications in Autism

Nicha C. Dvornek Associate Research Scientist, Radiology & Biomedical Imaging MRRC fMRI Seminar Series May 3, 2018







### Questions to answer

- Why supervised machine learning for fMRI analysis?
- What is / why use deep learning with recurrent neural networks?
- How can we apply recurrent neural networks to fMRI analysis?

### Outline

- 1. Supervised machine learning for fMRI
- 2. Deep learning and recurrent neural networks
- 3. Classification of autism / control from
  - Resting-state fMRI (rsfMRI)
  - rsfMRI + phenotypic data
- 4. Prediction of autism treatment outcome
- 5. Final thoughts

## Traditional fMRI analysis finds *descriptive* model

- Use all the data to fit a model
- General linear model for task fMRI (tfMRI)



## Traditional fMRI analysis finds *descriptive* model

- Functional connectivity analysis for resting-state fMRI (rsfMRI)
- Use all the data to fit a model



Seed-based correlations



Independent component analysis

analysis

## Supervised machine learning finds *predictive* model

• Training phase:



## Supervised machine learning finds *predictive* model

• Testing phase:



## Application 1: Identify fMRI biomarkers for autism spectrum disorder (ASD)

1. Train classifier for ASD 2. Extract biomarkers from learned model

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Application 2: Predict treatment outcome from baseline fMRI

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Outline

- 1. Supervised machine learning for fMRI
- 2. Deep learning and recurrent neural networks
- 3. Classification of autism / control from
  - Resting-state fMRI (rsfMRI)
  - rsfMRI + phenotypic data
- 4. Prediction of autism treatment outcome
- 5. Final thoughts

## Deep learning uses artificial neural network model with many layers

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Why has deep learning become so successful/popular?

- Big computational resources
- Big datasets
- Big models

## Why has deep learning become so successful/popular?

• Big computational resources

Cat

- Big datasets
- Big models
- It works!

#### Classification

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Detection

![](_page_12_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Captioning

![](_page_12_Picture_10.jpeg)

A cat is yawning

## Recurrent neural networks were designed for temporal data

- Deep learning model with recurrent connections
  - "Memory" is passed forward

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Recurrent neural networks were designed for temporal data

- Deep learning model with recurrent connections
  - "Memory" is passed forward
  - Model parameters *W* shared across time

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Recurrent neural networks with long short-term memory (LSTM) can learn long-term dependencies

- 2 types of recurrent information
  - 1. Hidden state  $h_t$  (output)
  - 2. Cell state  $c_t$  (remembers long-term information)

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Recurrent neural networks with long short-term memory (LSTM) can learn long-term dependencies

• 4 neural network layers take  $x_t$ ,  $h_{t-1}$  as inputs

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **LSTM Equations**

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{input gate} & i_t = \sigma \left( W_i x_t + U_i h_{t-1} + b_i \right) \\ \text{forget gate} & f_t = \sigma \left( W_f x_t + U_f h_{t-1} + b_f \right) \\ \text{current cell state} & \tilde{c_t} = \tanh \left( W_c x_t + U_c h_{t-1} + b_c \right) \\ & \text{cell state} & c_t = i_t * \tilde{c_t} + f_t * c_{t-1} \\ & \text{output gate} & o_t = \sigma \left( W_o x_t + U_o h_{t-1} + b_o \right) \\ & \text{hidden state} & h_t = o_t * \tanh \left( c_t \right) \end{array}$$

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Outline

- 1. Supervised machine learning for fMRI
- 2. Deep learning and recurrent neural networks
- 3. Classification of autism / control from
  - Resting-state fMRI (rsfMRI)
  - rsfMRI + phenotypic data
- 4. Prediction of autism treatment outcome
- 5. Final thoughts

## Application 1: Identify fMRI biomarkers for autism spectrum disorder (ASD)

1. Train classifier for ASD 2. Extract biomarkers from learned model

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Heterogeneity of ASD makes classification challenging

- Studies using small (*N*<100), homogeneous datasets:
  - + High accuracy (>70%) within sample
  - Poor generalization
- Studies using large, heterogeneous datasets:
  - + Better representation of population
  - Low accuracy (~60%), likely due to heterogeneity

![](_page_20_Figure_7.jpeg)

## Standard approaches classify ASD/TDC using pairwise rsfMRI connectivity as inputs

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### \*Biomarkers: Pairwise connections

## Proposed approach classifies ASD/TDC using rsfMRI time-series as inputs

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

\*Biomarkers: Brain regions/networks defined by LSTM nodes

Yale school of medicine

## LSTM-based network architecture to classify ASD/TC from rsfMRI time-series

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Autism Brain Imaging Data Exchange (ABIDE) Dataset I

- 539 ASD + 573 TDC from 17 international sites
- Neuroimaging (rsfMRI, structural MRI) and phenotypic data
- Preprocessed Connectomes Project
  - − Connectome Computation System pipeline
    → 1100 preprocessed subjects in MNI space
  - Parcellation from Craddock 200 atlas
- ROI mean time-series preprocessing
  - Normalized to percent signal change
  - Resample to 2 second interval

![](_page_24_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Augment data using random crops of the rsfMRI timeseries

• 10 random crops / subject  $\rightarrow N = 11000$  samples

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Evaluate algorithm performance using cross-validation

• 10-fold cross-validation, stratified across sites

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Accuracy measures
  - Subject accuracy (subject score = average of subject's 10 sample scores)
  - $-\Delta$ Baseline = (Subject accuracy) (Accuracy by naïve labelling)

## LSTM model outperformed previous studies classifying majority of ABIDE cohort from rsfMRI

Classification Method	Validation Method	# of Subjects	Subject Accuracy (%)	∆Baseline (%)
Logistic Regression <sup>1</sup>	10CV	178	69.7	19.7
Linear SVM <sup>2</sup>	Train/Val	252	66	16
Linear SVM <sup>3</sup>	10CV	871	66.9 (2.7)	13.2
GLM <sup>4</sup>	LOOCV	964	60.0	6.4
RBF SVM <sup>5</sup>	Train/Val	1111	59.2	7.6
LSTM – no augmentation <sup>6</sup>	10CV	1100	61.4 (4.5)	9.5
LSTM – with augmentation <sup>6</sup>	10CV	1100	68.5 (5.5)	16.6

<sup>1</sup>Plitt et al., Neuroimage: Clin. 2015. <sup>2</sup>Chen et al., Neuroimage: Clin. 2015. <sup>3</sup>Abraham et al., Neuroimage 2017. <sup>4</sup>Nielsen et al., Front. Hum. Neurosci. 2013. <sup>5</sup>Ghiassian et al., PLOS One 2016. <sup>6</sup>Dvornek et al., MLMI 2017.

### Interpret large LSTM model weights as important features for classification

:

 $W_l(n,r)$  = Weight for ROI *r* in LSTM node *n* for layer *l* 

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

LS LM node n	W	Veights <i>W<sub>l</sub>(n,r)</i>   Atlas region <i>r</i>	Average
analysis	corr.		47.80
posterior superior	0.481	Nourogynth	2. 1. 2-
temporal sulcus	0.472	Neurosynth	+ + +
superior temporal	0.402		
psts	0.396		S 357 S
temporal	0.388		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
sts	0.386	Mack	of large average   W/
	•	WIASK	UI IAI ge avelage   W

## Important ROIs for each LSTM layer map to areas previously implicated in ASD

#### Input Layer

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Forget Layer

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

Anatomical:

Superior Temporal Sulcus, Middle Temporal Gyrus, Planum Temporale

Inferior Frontal Gyrus, Temporal Pole, Planum Temporale

Functional: Sentence, Comprehension, Linguistic, Audiovisual, Language Sentence, Verb, Nouns, Semantically, Sentence Comprehension

## Important ROIs for each LSTM layer map to areas previously implicated in ASD

#### Cell Layer

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Output Layer**

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

Anatomical:

Midbrain, Thalamus, Superior Temporal Sulcus Hypothalamus, Inferior Parietal Lobe, Medial Prefrontal Cortex

Functional: Reward, Speaker, Voice, Audiovisual, Speech Self, Sexual, Referential, Memory Retrieval, Regulation

## Interpret large LSTM model weights as important features for classification

•  $W_l(n,r)$  = Weight for ROI r in LSTM node n for layer l

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

Mask of

analysis	corr.
fusiform	0.205
fusiform gyrus	0.179
occipitotemporal	0.171
lateral occipital	0.17
faces	0.167
objects	0.167
	analysis fusiform fusiform gyrus occipitotemporal lateral occipital faces objects

## Each LSTM node encodes potential brain network important for ASD/TDC classification

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

Pain, reward, anticipation, incentive

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

Faces, objects, word form, emotional, visual

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

Default mode, reward, listening, mental states

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

Listening, sounds, theory of mind, social

### Outline

- 1. Supervised machine learning for fMRI
- 2. Deep learning and recurrent neural networks
- 3. Classification of autism / control from
  - Resting-state fMRI (rsfMRI)
  - rsfMRI + phenotypic data
- 4. Prediction of autism treatment outcome
- 5. Final thoughts

### How to incorporate phenotypic information?

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

\*Biomarkers: Brain networks defined by LSTM nodes

Yale school of medicine

### Recall: Baseline LSTM architecture

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Input phenotypic data with rsfMRI directly into LSTM (Pheno-TS)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Combine phenotypic data with LSTM encoded outputs (Pheno-LSTM)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Combine phenotypic data with score from rsfMRI (Pheno-rsfScore)

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Use phenotypes as auxiliary targets (Pheno-Target)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Combining phenotypic data with rsfMRI score improves classification accuracy

Classification Method	Phenotypic Data	# of Subjects	Subject Accuracy (%)	ΔBaseline (%)
Graph CNN <sup>1</sup>	Sex, Site	871	69.5	15.8
GLM <sup>2</sup>	Age, Sex, Hand	964	60.0	6.4
RBF SVM <sup>3</sup>	Age, Sex, Hand, Full IQ, PIQ, VIQ, Site, Eye	1111	65.0	13.4
Pheno-TS <sup>4</sup>	Age, Sex, Hand, Full IQ, Eye	1100	67.0 (3.5)	15.1
Pheno-LSTM <sup>4</sup>			68.2 (4.1)	16.3
Pheno-rsfScore <sup>4</sup>			70.1 (3.2)	18.2
Pheno-Target <sup>4</sup>			67.2 (4.0)	15.3

<sup>1</sup>Parisot et al., MICCAI 2017. <sup>2</sup>Nielsen et al., Front. Hum. Neurosci. 2013. <sup>3</sup>Ghiassian et al., PLOS One 2016. <sup>4</sup>Dvornek et al., ISBI 2018.

Yale school of medicine

## For best model, rsfMRI is most influential and full IQ is most important phenotypic variable

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Outline

- 1. Supervised machine learning for fMRI
- 2. Deep learning and recurrent neural networks
- 3. Classification of autism / control from
  - Resting-state fMRI (rsfMRI)
  - rsfMRI + phenotypic data
- 4. Prediction of autism treatment outcome
- 5. Final thoughts

## Application 2: Predict treatment outcome from baseline fMRI

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Intensive behavioral therapies may be a promising treatment for ASD

- E.g., Pivotal Response Therapy (PRT)
  - Targets social skills development in play-based format
- Large commitment from patients and families
- Early intervention is crucial

![](_page_44_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Need for precision medicine to assign correct treatment as early as possible

- Recall: ASD extremely heterogeneous
- No "one size fits all" treatment
- Currently trial and error

![](_page_45_Figure_4.jpeg)

# How to learn a robust LSTM model for predicting treatment outcome from small patient dataset?

- 1. Use task fMRI specific to activating social regions
- 2. Choose appropriate outcome measure
- 3. More data augmentation
- 4. Reduce model complexity
- 5. Utilize simple phenotypic data to create subject-specific models

## Use task fMRI with Biopoint biological motion perception paradigm

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Revealed differences between ASD/TC in social brain regions<sup>1</sup>

### Measure treatment response using % change in Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS) from baseline

- SRS measures severity of social impairment in autism
- Lower SRS score  $\rightarrow$  Better social function

eline
)

## Bootstrap sample ROI voxels to augment small treatment dataset

• For each ROI, randomly sample voxels with replacement

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Modify LSTM-based model to predict treatment outcome, conditioned on phenotypic variables

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

### PRT Dataset

- 21 ASD children (6.05  $\pm$ 1.24 years) underwent 16 weeks PRT
- Collected before treatment:

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_5.jpeg)

MP-RAGE

tfMRI with Biopoint

Phenotypic Variables

- Collected after treatment: SRS
- Note: > 2400 hours to collect data

### Data Preprocessing

- Standard fMRI preprocessing pipeline<sup>1</sup>
- Registered fMRI to MNI space
- Parcellation using AAL atlas (90 cerebral ROIs)

![](_page_52_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Standardized time-series (subtract mean, divide by standard dev.)
- Phenotypic variables normalized to [-1,1]

### Bootstrap data augmentation and subject-specific LSTM initialization significantly improve prediction

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Outline

- 1. Supervised machine learning for fMRI
- 2. Deep learning and recurrent neural networks
- 3. Classification of autism / control from
  - Resting-state fMRI (rsfMRI)
  - rsfMRI + phenotypic data
- 4. Prediction of autism treatment outcome
- 5. Final thoughts

## Deep learning with LSTMs can successfully learn predictive models from fMRI

• Higher classification accuracy (68.5%) of ABIDE cohort

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

Yale school of medicine

### Deep learning with LSTMs can successfully learn predictive models from fMRI + phenotypic data

• Highest classification accuracy (70.1%) on largest subset of ABIDE

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Deep learning with LSTMs can successfully learn predictive models from fMRI + phenotypic data

• High correlation (r = 0.77) between true/predicted response to PRT

![](_page_57_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Deep networks with LSTMs are interpretable

- LSTM model weights may define biomarkers
- Extracted regions previously implicated in ASD

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

• Depending on model, importance of phenotype can be understood

![](_page_58_Figure_6.jpeg)

### Future directions: New methodology

- Incorporate other types of imaging data into single neural network
  Structural MRI, Diffusion MRI, ...
- Rigorous method for network interpretation/biomarker extraction
- Normalize fMRI data across different sites (domain adaptation)

![](_page_59_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Future directions: New applications

- Other applications in ASD
  - Predict behavioral measures (e.g., SRS, ADOS) and assess biomarkers
  - Predict outcomes for other therapies
- Other neurological diseases/disorders
- Other large neuroimaging datasets, e.g., HCP
- Other neuroimaging modalities
  - EEG
  - MEG
  - PET

### In summary....

- Why supervised machine learning for fMRI analysis?
  - Finds *predictive* models that generalize to new data by learning individualized patterns
- What is / why use deep learning with recurrent neural networks?
  - Nice model for temporal data that showed improved accuracy
- How can we apply recurrent neural networks to fMRI analysis?
  - Disease/control classification, biomarker identification, treatment prediction, ...

## Thank you!

- NIH Grants T32 MH18268 and R01 NS035193
- Duncan Lab
  - Xiaoxiao Li
  - Juntang Zhuang
  - Allen Lu

- Child Study Center (past and present)
  - Pamela Ventola
  - Kevin Pelphrey
  - Daniel Yang

• Contact: nicha.dvornek@yale.edu

![](_page_62_Figure_11.jpeg)